THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY

OF

CAREY M. FLYNT

July 8, 2009



DOCKET NO. 2009-4-G

ANNUAL REVIEW OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT AND GAS PURCHASING POLICIES OF PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. July 8, 2009 Page 1 of 3

1		SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT TESTIMONY OF CAREY M. FLYNT
2 3		FOR
4 5		THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
6 7 8		DOCKET NO. 2009-4-G
9 10	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
11	A.	My name is Carey M. Flynt. My business address is 1401 Main Street, Suite 900,
12		Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the South Carolina Office of
13		Regulatory Staff ("ORS") as Manager of the Gas Department.
14	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME CAREY M. FLYNT WHO PREFILED DIRECT
15		TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET ON JUNE 25, 2009?
16	A.	Yes, I am.
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
18	A.	I will discuss and offer testimony in support of the Settlement Agreement reached
19		by the parties of record in the Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas
20		Purchasing Policies of Piedmont Natural Gas Company ("PNG or "Company").
21	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
22		REACHED BY THE PARTIES AND FILED WITH THIS COMMISSION ON
23		JULY 7, 2009.
24	A.	Yes. The Settlement Agreement affirms the Parties concurrence that:
25		(1) PNG's gas purchasing policies and practices during the Review Period were
26		reasonable and prudent;

July 8, 2009 Page 2 of 3

1		(2) PNG properly adhered to the gas cost recovery provisions of its gas tariff and relevant
2		Commission orders during the Review Period;
3		(3) PNG managed its hedging program during the Review Period in a reasonable and
4		prudent manner consistent with Commission orders;
5		(4) the end-of-period balances for PNG's hedging and deferred gas cost accounts are
6		those reflected in the testimony of ORS witness Daniel F. Sullivan; and
7		(5) the proposed changes to Piedmont's imbalance management and cash-out tariff
8		provisions are just and reasonable and appropriate to protect the interests of Piedmont
9		and its customers from potentially harmful imbalance activity on its system.
10	Q.	IS IT THE OPINION OF ORS THAT THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF
11		THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST?
12	A.	Yes. Following extensive review, examination and discussions, ORS has
13		determined that the terms of the Settlement Agreement serve the public interest. The
14		Settlement is offered by all parties as a fair, reasonable and full resolution of all issues in
15		this proceeding as signified by all parties being signatories to the Settlement Agreement.
16		S.C. Code Section 58-4-10(B) (1) through (3) reads in part as follows:
17 18		" 'public interest' means a balancing of the following:
19 20		- concerns of the using and consuming public with respect to public utility
21		services, regardless of the class of customer;
22		- economic development and job attraction and retention in South
23 24		Carolina; and - preservation of the financial integrity of the State's public utilities and
25		continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to
26		provide reliable and high quality utility services."
27		

July 8, 2009 Page 3 of 3

1		ORS believes that the Settlement Agreement reached by the parties in this docket
2		balances the concerns of the using public while preserving the financial integrity of the
3		Company. Further, ORS believes that the Settlement Agreement does not inhibit
4		economic development.
5		Therefore, ORS recommends that the Commission approve the Settlement
6		Agreement filed in this proceeding.
7	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8	A.	Yes it does.